

**CITY OF WOBURN
OCTOBER 2, 2012 - 7:00 P.M.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL**

Roll Call

Anderson	Gately
DiTucci	Haggerty
Drapeau	Mercer-Bruen
Gaffney	Raymond
Denaro	

VOTED to dispense with the reading of the previous meeting's Journal and to APPROVE.

MAYOR'S COMMUNICATIONS: None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

On the petition by Alderman Darlene Mercer-Bruen concerning the structure or structures located at 34 Orange Street a/k/a 29 Hawthorne Street, Woburn, Massachusetts, for the purposes of determining whether said structure or structures are a public nuisance, a nuisance to the neighborhood, a dilapidated or dangerous building or other structure, as said terms are used in Massachusetts General Laws Ch. 139, Sec. 1, and if so, enter an order adjudging it to be a nuisance to the neighborhood, or dangerous, and prescribing its disposition, alteration or regulation. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

On the petition by Peterson Party Center Inc., 139 Swanton Street, Winchester, Massachusetts for a special permit pursuant to 1985 Woburn Zoning Ordinances, as amended, Section 5.1.57b to modify special permits issued August 11, 2011 and January 26, 2012 by deleting the reference to the plan entitled "Proposed Parking Layout" Sheet PK-1 dated November 15, 2011 in Condition 2 and replacing same with the plan filed with this petition entitled "Proposed Parking Layout" Sheet PK-1 dated June 4, 2012 as the plan of record, at 36 Cabot Road. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

On the petition by Alderman Michael Raymond concerning the structure or structures located at 6-8 Robinlea Circle, Woburn, Massachusetts, for the purposes of determining whether said structure or structures are a public nuisance, a nuisance to the neighborhood, a dilapidated or dangerous building or other structure, as said terms are used in Massachusetts General Laws Ch. 139, Sec. 1, and if so, enter an order adjudging it to be a

nuisance to the neighborhood, or dangerous, and prescribing its disposition, alteration or regulation. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

On the petition by WJP Realty Trust, P.O. Box 2555, Woburn, Massachusetts 01801 for a special permit pursuant to Section 5.1.71 of the 1985 Woburn Zoning Ordinances, as amended, to allow for a commercial parking lot at 210 New Boston Street. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

On the petition by Metro PCS Massachusetts, LLC, 285 Billerica Road, Chelmsford, Massachusetts 01824 for a special permit pursuant to Section 5.1.80b of the 1985 Woburn Zoning Ordinances, as amended, to install one microwave dish and associated cabling at an existing wireless facility and seeks relief from Sections 5.6 and 11.5 of the 1985 Woburn Zoning Ordinances, as amended, at 44 Montvale Avenue. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. A report was received from the Committee on Special Permits as follows: “back for action.” A communication dated September 26, 2012 was received from Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director, Woburn Planning Board as follows:

Re: Metro PCS Massachusetts, LLC – 44 Montvale Avenue - To allow for the installation one (1) microwave dish and associated cabling at the existing Wireless communication link pursuant to Sections 5.6.2, 5.6.4, 5.6.5 and 11.5

Dear Mr. Campbell and members of the City Council:

At the Planning Board meeting held on September 25, 2012, the Planning Board voted to send a favorable recommendation to the City Council on the Special Permit application of Metro PCS Massachusetts LLC, regarding the property at 44 Montvale Ave in accordance to Sections 5.6 and 11.5 subject to the following conditions:

1. That the microwave dish shall be in accordance with plans entitled “BOS0016B Montvale Avenue Woburn” dated 08/09/12; and
2. That the petitioner shall post a bond for future removal.

If members of the City Council have any questions or concerns regarding the foregoing recommendation, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely, s/Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director

On the petition by SHP III Country Club, LLC d/b/a Brightview Country Club Heights, 3 Rehabilitation Way, Woburn, Massachusetts 01801 for a special permit to modify a special permit dated May 23, 1977 by altering the existing non-conforming use and structure as follows: 1. To allow for the conversion of sixteen (16) existing assisted living units to twenty-one (21) memory care units with 24 beds; 2. An increase of total units from 101 approved units to 104 units (83 assisted living units and 21 memory units); 3.

The alteration of the existing structure to allow for a second floor deck; and 4. To allow for 49 parking spaces, at 3 Rehabilitation Way. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. A communication dated September 26, 2012 was received from Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director, Woburn Planning Board as follows:

Re: SHP III Country Club, LLC d/b/a Brightview Country Club Heights – 3 Rehabilitation Way – To modify the existing Special Permit to allow for alteration of the existing non-conforming use and structure as follows: (1) to allow for the conversion of sixteen existing assisted living units to twenty-one memory care units with twenty-four beds; (2) an increase of total units from 101 approved units to 104 units (83 assisted living units and 21 memory units); (3) the alteration of the existing structure to allow for a second floor deck; and (4) to allow for 49 parking spaces pursuant to Sections 5.1.3b, 7.3, 8.2.5 and 15.

Dear Mr. Campbell and members of the City Council:

At the Planning Board meeting held on September 25, 2012, the Planning Board voted to send a favorable recommendation to the City Council on the Special Permit application of SHP III Country Club, LLC d/b/a Brightview Country Club Heights, regarding property at 3 Rehabilitation Way subject to the following conditions:

1. That the “Plans of Record” shall be the plans entitled “Brightview Country Club Heights, Deck and Interior Renovations, 3 Rehabilitation Way, Woburn, Massachusetts” dated August 24, 2012, Revised: September 10, 2012 consisting of 3 sheets; and
2. That the 17 spaces shown on Sheet 3 of the “Plans of Record” on the property of New England Rehabilitation Hospital, Inc. shall be continued to be maintained as parking for Brightview Country Club Heights.

If members of the City Council have any questions or concerns regarding the foregoing recommendation, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely, s/Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director

On the petition by Woburn Armory LLC to amend the Zoning Map of the City of Woburn by changing the zoning district for the entire parcel known as 286 Main Street a/k/a 320 Main Street, containing approximately 38,344 square feet of land as shown on a plan by Design Consultants, Inc., entitled “Plan of Land in Woburn, Massachusetts” dated June 23, 2005 from the R-2/B-D zoning districts to the B-D zoning district. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. A communication dated September 26, 2012 was received from Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director, Woburn Planning Board as follows:

Re: Woburn Armory LLC – Zoning Map Change – To amend the Zoning Map of the City of Woburn by changing the zoning district for the entire parcel known as 286 Main Street a/k/a 320 Main Street, containing 38,344 square feet of land as shown on a plan

by Design Consultants, Inc., entitled "Plan of Land in Woburn, Massachusetts" dated June 23, 2005 from the R-2/B-D zoning districts to the B-D zoning district.

Dear Mr. Campbell and members of the City Council:

At the Planning Board meeting held on September 25, 2012, the Planning Board voted to send a favorable recommendation regarding the above referenced zoning map change to the City Council.

If members of the City Council have any questions or concerns regarding the foregoing recommendation, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely, s/Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director

On the petition by Woburn Armory LLC to amend the 1985 Woburn Zoning Ordinances, as amended, as follows: 1. By revising Section 11.11 entitled "Affordable Housing Requirement" by adding a new Paragraph 8 to Section 11.11 as follows: "8. The City Council by majority vote, may waive the requirements of this Section 11.11 if the development includes dwelling units in a building deemed "Significant" by the Woburn Historical Commission.", and 2. By revising Section 18.8.2 "Waivers" by adding the following language to the end of Paragraph 18.8.2 as follows: The "period" after "site" shall be deleted and the following language added: "or the renovation, rehabilitation or redevelopment of a building deemed "Significant" by the Woburn Historical Commission." PUBLIC HEARING OPENED. A communication date September 26, 2012 was received from Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director, Woburn Planning Board as follows:

Re: Woburn Armory LLC – Zoning Change – To amend Section 11.11 entitled "Affordable Housing Requirement" by adding a new Paragraph 8 to section 11.11 as follows: "8. The City Council by majority vote, may waive the requirements of this Section 11.11 if the development includes dwelling units in a building deemed "Significant" by the Woburn Historical Commission."; and 2. By revising Section 18.8.2 as "Waivers" by adding the following language to end of Paragraph 18.8.2 as follows: The "period" after "site" shall be deleted and the following language added: "or the renovation, rehabilitation or redevelopment of a building deemed "Significant" by the Woburn Historical Commission."

Dear Mr. Campbell and members of the City Council:

At the Planning Board meeting held on September 25, 2012, the Planning Board voted to send the following recommendations regarding the above referenced zoning amendments to the City Council:

1. That the amendment to Section 11.11 entitled "Affordable Housing Requirement" by adding a new Paragraph 8 to section 11.11 as follows: "8. The City Council by majority vote, may waive the requirements of this Section 11.11 if the development

includes dwelling units in a building deemed "Significant" by the Woburn Historical Commission." not be approved;

2. That the amendment to Section 18.8. 2 be approved as modified by adding the following language to the end of 18.8.2 as follows: The "period" after "site" shall be deleted and the following language added: "or the preservation and rehabilitation of a building included in the Massachusetts Historical Commission's inventory of historic and archeological assets of the Commonwealth, in accordance with the requirements of the Woburn Historical Commission."

If members of the City Council have any questions or concerns regarding the foregoing recommendation, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely, s/Edmund P. Tarallo, Planning Director

On the petition by to determine whether there is cause for revoking the inflammable licenses held by NStar Electric Company, Cove Street at the request of licenseholder, by Anika Therapeutics, Inc., 236 West Cummings Park at the request of licenseholder, and by Francis P. McHugh, 26 Jefferson Avenue for failure to register. NStar Electric Company withdrew its request for the license to withdrawn and filed the certificate of registration. PUBLIC HEARING OPENED.

CITIZEN'S PARTICIPATION: None.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

On the petition to transfer the Inflammable License at 225 Presidential Way to Presidential Way Woburn, LLC, committee report was received "ought to pass."

On the petition to transfer the Inflammable License at 235 Presidential Way to Presidential Way Woburn, LLC, committee report was received "ought to pass."

NEW PETITIONS:

Petition to transfer the Inflammable License at 23 Pleasant Street to from Global Companies, LLC to Nicolas Realty III LLC.

Petition by John W. Collier, 200 Monsignor O'Brien Highway, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02141 for a special permit pursuant to Sections 5.1.2a and 7.3 of the 1985 Woburn Zoning Ordinances, as amended to convert a pre-existing single family dwelling to a two family dwelling at 19 Center Street.

COMMUNICATIONS AND REPORTS:

A communication dated September 25, 2012 was received from Charles L. O'Connor, Parking Clerk, Police Headquarters, 25 Harrison Avenue as follows:

In accordance with Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 90, Section 20½, I am submitting a report to you on the parking violations in the City of Woburn for the period ending July 2012: number of parking violations issued 446, number of violations paid 214, number of violations outstanding 211, amount collected and submitted to the Office of the Collector \$18,704.40. There exists a backlog of 5,891 tickets for 1982 through 2011. Demand will be sent until all tickets have been paid. Parking violations referred to the Handicapped Commission to date \$2,650.00.

Respectfully submitted, s/Charles L. O'Connor, Parking Clerk City of Woburn

A communication dated March 1, 2012 was received from the Ad Hoc Polling Place Review Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS OF PRECEDING MEETING: None.

APPOINTMENTS AND ELECTIONS: None.

MOTIONS, ORDERS AND RESOLUTIONS:

From the Traffic Commission:

ORDERED GLENWOOD AVENUE – No parking on Glenwood Avenue a distance of 140 feet easterly from the intersection with Summit Street.

ORDERED

That in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 54, as amended, the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to notify and to warn such of the inhabitants of the City of Woburn as are qualified to vote in the State Election to assemble at the polling places in the City of Woburn as designated herein, on **TUESDAY, the SIXTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2012** from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., then and there to cast their votes in the State Election for the candidates for the following offices at the following polling places:

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND

VICE PRESIDENT	FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
SENATOR IN CONGRESS	FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	FIFTH DISTRICT
COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT	
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT	4 TH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT	15 TH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT
	(Wards 1, 7)
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT	30 TH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT
	(Wards 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)
CLERK OF COURTS	MIDDLESEX COUNTY
REGISTER OF DEEDS	MIDDLESEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT
REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE	NORTHEAST METROPOLITAN
SHERIFF MIDDLESEX COUNTY	

QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer’s dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner’s designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer’s dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control; (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting voluntarily, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment;

(5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirements of the law are met, including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death.

Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for participating while on the premises of, or while acting as an employee of or contractor for, the unwilling provider.

The proposed law states that no person would be civilly or criminally liable or subject to professional discipline for actions that comply with the law, including actions taken in good faith that substantially comply. It also states that it should not be interpreted to lower the applicable standard of care for any health care provider.

A person's decision to make or rescind a request could not be restricted by will or contract made on or after January 1, 2013, and could not be considered in issuing, or setting the rates for, insurance policies or annuities. Also, the proposed law would require the attending physician to report each case in which life-ending medication is dispensed to the state Department of Public Health. The Department would provide public access to statistical data compiled from the reports.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts was held invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at the request of a terminally-ill patient meeting certain conditions, to end that person's life.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

QUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the medical use of marijuana by qualifying patients. To qualify, a patient must have been diagnosed with a debilitating medical condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status or AIDS, hepatitis C, Crohn's disease, Parkinson's disease, ALS, or multiple sclerosis. The patient would also have to obtain a written certification, from a physician with whom the patient has a bona fide physician-patient relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

The proposed law would allow patients to possess up to a 60-day supply of marijuana for their personal medical use. The state Department of Public Health (DPH) would decide what amount would be a 60-day supply. A patient could designate a personal caregiver, at least 21 years old,

who could assist with the patient's medical use of marijuana but would be prohibited from consuming that marijuana. Patients and caregivers would have to register with DPH by submitting the physician's certification.

The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical marijuana treatment centers to grow, process and provide marijuana to patients or their caregivers. A treatment center would have to apply for a DPH registration by (1) paying a fee to offset DPH's administrative costs; (2) identifying its location and one additional location, if any, where marijuana would be grown; and (3) submitting operating procedures, consistent with rules to be issued by DPH, including cultivation and storage of marijuana only in enclosed, locked facilities.

A treatment center's personnel would have to register with DPH before working or volunteering at the center, be at least 21 years old, and have no felony drug convictions. In 2013, there could be no more than 35 treatment centers, with at least one but not more than five centers in each county. In later years, DPH could modify the number of centers.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying patient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability to access reasonable transportation, or distance. This would allow the patient or caregiver to grow only enough plants, in a closed, locked facility, for a 60-day supply of marijuana for the patient's own use.

DPH could revoke any registration for a willful violation of the proposed law. Fraudulent use of a DPH registration could be punished by up to six months in a house of correction or a fine of up to \$500, and fraudulent use of a registration for the sale, distribution, or trafficking of marijuana for non-medical use for profit could be punished by up to five years in state prison or by two and one-half years in a house of correction.

The proposed law would (1) not give immunity under federal law or obstruct federal enforcement of federal law; (2) not supersede Massachusetts laws prohibiting possession, cultivation, or sale of marijuana for nonmedical purposes; (3) not allow the operation of a motor vehicle, boat, or aircraft while under the influence of marijuana; (4) not require any health insurer or government entity to reimburse for the costs of the medical use of marijuana; (5) not require any health care professional to authorize the medical use of marijuana; (6) not require any accommodation of the medical use of marijuana in any workplace, school bus or grounds, youth center, or correctional facility; and (7) not require any accommodation of smoking marijuana in any public place.

The proposed law would take effect January 1, 2013, and states that if any of its part were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law eliminating state criminal and civil penalties related to the medical use of marijuana, allowing patients meeting certain conditions to obtain marijuana produced and distributed by new state-regulated centers or, in specific hardship cases, to grow marijuana for their own use.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

QUESTION 4

Shall the license commission be authorized to grant licenses for the sale of wines and malt beverages to be drunk on the premises in restaurants having seating capacities of not less than 50

seats; provided, however, that not more than 8 such licenses or such amount as allowed under section 17 of chapter 138 of the General Laws shall be in effect?

QUESTION 5 (Wards 1 and 7 only)
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress to propose an amendment to the U.S. constitution affirming that (1) corporations are not entitled to the constitutional rights of human beings, and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending?

QUESTION 6 (Wards 1 and 7 only)
THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress and the President to: (1) prevent cuts to Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and Veterans benefits, or to housing, food and unemployment assistance; (2) create and protect jobs by investing in manufacturing, schools, housing, renewable energy, transportation and other public services; (3) provide new revenues for these purposes and to reduce the long-term federal deficit by closing corporate tax loopholes, ending offshore tax havens, and raising taxes on incomes over \$250,000; and (4) redirect military spending to these domestic needs by reducing the military budget, ending the war in Afghanistan and bringing U.S. troops home safely now?

<u>Ward-Precinct</u>	<u>Polling Place Location</u>
1-1	Joyce Middle School Library, 55 Locust Street
1-2	Clapp Elementary School Cafeteria, Hudson Street and Arlington Road
2-1	Shamrock Elementary School Gymnasium, 60 Green Street
2-2	Shamrock Elementary School Gymnasium, 60 Green Street
3-1	Hurd Elementary School Gymnasium, 75 Bedford Road
3-2	Hurd Elementary School Gymnasium, 75 Bedford Road
4-1	Wyman Elementary School Auditorium, Main Street and Eaton Avenue
4-2	White Elementary School, 36 Bow Street
5-1	Goodyear Elementary School Gymnasium, 41 Central Street
5-2	Goodyear Elementary School Gymnasium, 41 Central Street
6-1	Altavesta Elementary School Gymnasium, 990 Main street
6-2	Altavesta Elementary School Gymnasium, 990 Main Street

7-1 Reeves Elementary School Gymnasium, 240 Lexington Street

7-2 Reeves Elementary School Gymnasium, 240 Lexington Street

s/Alderman _____

ORDERED That the Committee on Public Safety and Licenses meet with the Chief of Police for a status report on public safety issues

s/President Denaro

Motion made and 2nd to ADJOURN.